Quality Systems for Higher Education: The Case of Faculties of Education and Health Sciences, University of Botswana

> G. TSAYANG (EdD) DEPUTY DEAN EDUCATIONAL MANAGEMENT FACULTY OF EDUCATION UNIVERSITY OF BOTSWANA P/BAG 007002, GABORONE TSAYANGT@MOPIPI.UB.BW

K. D. MOGOBE (PHD) DEPUTY DEAN AND SENIOR LECTURER, MIDWIFERY FACULTY OF HEALTH SCIENCES UNIVERSITY OF BOTSWANA P/BAG 00702, GABORONE MOGOBEKD@MOPIPI.UB.BW

BACKGROUND

- History of quality assurance in HE in Africa
 - Affiliation to partner Universities in colonising countries
 - Increase in enrolments vs resources
 - Higher education as an important factor for economic development and knowledge based economies

Purpose of the presentation

- To share ideas for input from colleagues
- To discuss processes and challenges of QA in the faculties of Education and Health sciences

The University of Botswana in brief

- Established 1982 by an act of parliament
- Vision: A leading Centre of academic excellence in Africa and World
- Only public University in the country
- Key to expanding the quantity and improving the quality of human resources

Definition of Quality

• Quality can be defined in various ways based on interpretation (WHO,2008)

- **Quality** can be defined as **fitness for purpose**conforming to generally accepted standards as defined by institution, quality assurance bodies and appropriate academic and professional communities (University of Botswana 2003)
- **Quality in Higher Education**: The degree to which a program meets its requirements: A set of established standards or the necessary competencies of any program (Dohety 2005).

Definition of Quality Assurance

- a planned and systematic review process to determine whether or not acceptable standards of education, scholarship and infrastructure are being met, maintained and enhanced (Borahan and Ziarati (2002:914)
- A process that brings about reforms to raise quality of edu. and training

Why quality in HE

- Monitoring and assessment of the quality of edu. and training (World Bank Africa Action Plan)
- Higher education supporting other levels of education , the economy and the society as a whole
- Enhancement of internalisation and regionalisation
 Economic Development
- Achievement of EFA and MDGs

• For academic competitiveness locally regionally and globally

Methodology

• Participant observation :

• The researchers are quality assurance overseers in the Faculties.

Documentary analysis

- Review of the Faculties Quality assurance reports
- The UB Learning and Teaching Policy
- The academic quality assurance policy
- Other relevant documents

Internal QA Processes in the two faculties

•New Programme approval(Dept, Faculty, CAD, APRPC, Senate, Council) • Programme reviews • Formative assessment (CA) oSummative(exams, projects etc). • Research and Teaching Awards Course outline reviews • Peer reviews

External QA Processes

- 5 Yearly External Programme Reviews
- External Examination of Graduate Research Projects
- Engagement of Regulatory and professional bodies such as Professional Councils and Associations
- Advisory Boards
- Students and Staff exchange programmes
- Research Grants

QA Challenges in the two Faculties

- Inadequate Human and Material Resources:
 - Failure to attract and retain senior academics
 - High student : lecturer ratios
 - Academic autonomy versus dependence on government funding
 - e.g. Special Education and Bachelor of Nursing Science programme Nursing (no satisfactory skills lab).
 - Inadequate equipment in practice settings

Quality Assurance Challenges conti..

- Inexperienced Quality Assurance Staff
 - Overseers and committee members do it out of mere interest with no training
- Limited workshops and seminars on QA
- Work overload on the part of QA members
- Limited meetings by QA committees as they are unsure of what to do (reports confined to CA and exams, moderation and registration).
- Poor culture of reading

Challenges continued

- Lack of grading descriptors
- Low level of formal collaboration among faculties and departments
- Academic management procedures(late allocation of courses, leading to late commencement of classes, poor preparation, .
- Timetabling issues (omissions and clashes)

Challenges continued..

- Poor advisement of students
- Unprofessional conduct of some staff (failure to mark and provide feedback)
- Manual registration and timetabling

Recommendations

- The Faculties to adopt system of generic assessment descriptors.
- Intensive workshops and seminars to be mounted for quality assurance staff
- The University to provide better incentives to attract professors who should provide academic leadership for quality

Recommendations

• Clear guidelines be developed to assist quality assurance committees.

• Interfaculty quality assurance collaboration be strengthened

- Course allocation to be done before the end of the semester for the next one.
- On-line Registration and time-tabling be expedited